Case 1:08-cv-00708-AKH	Document 1	Filed 01/07/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
KENNETH KOHLMANN AND ADRIENNE K	OHLMANN	DOCKET NO.	
Pla	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006. NOTICE OF ADOPTION			
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an 'V' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),			

lual f(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, KENNETH KOHLMANN AND ADRIENNE KOHLMANN, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	✓ Plaintiff, KENNETH	KOHLMANN (hereinafte	er the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an
individual ar	nd a citizen of New York re	siding at 110 Hillside Road	l, Farmingdale, NY 11735-0000.
		(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this clair	n in his (her) capacity as o	f the Estate of
	Pleas	e read this document careful	ly.
		u fill out each and every sect	

Cas	se 1:08-cv-00708-AKH Document	1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 2 of 11		
	siding at 110 Hillside Road, Farmingdal to the Injured Plaintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I KENNETH KOHLMANN, ar to the injuries sustained by her KOHLMANN.	reinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of e, NY 11735-0000, and has the following herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ad brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due husband (his wife), Plaintiff KENNETH Other:		
4. of New York	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 12/20/ and New Jersey as a Police Officer at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Port Authority		
	·	lling in the following dates and locations		
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about 9/11/2001 until 12/20/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for		The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		
Approximatel ======= The New From on or al Approximatel	y 100 days total. York City Medical Examiner's Office out until, hours per day; for y days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
☐ The Fresh From on or ab		From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
*Continue th	"Other" locations, please annex a separa Injured Plaintiff ☑ Was exposed to and breathed mabove; ☑ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all		
	Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates the site(s) indicated above;			
	✓ Other: Not yet determined. Please read this doc	ument carefully.		

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

2 of 9

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	\square BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ OTHER:

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-00708-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☑ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES OF ACTION			
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation	
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death	
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff	

Other: _

Case 1:08-cv-00708-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Respiratory Injury: Cough; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 11/26/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 11/26/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 11/26/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.			

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

 \checkmark Pain and suffering \checkmark Loss of the enjoyment of life \checkmark Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity \checkmark Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits \checkmark Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation \checkmark Other: ✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 3, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Kohlmann

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Kenneth Kohlmann and Adrienne

By: _____

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
January 3, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket I	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
====	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	KENNETH KOHLMANN (AND WIFE, ADRIENNE KOHLMANN),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within
	is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===== I	======================================
_	NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy
	will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the
	judges of the within named Court, at
	on20 atM. Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP